

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,407	03/12/2004	Ian Cottrell	257122/0044 MWS:AEW	7156
7590 10/30/2007 Matthew W. Siegal			EXAMINER	
Stroock & Stro	ock & Lavan LLP	PESELE	PESELEV, ELLI	
180 Maiden Lane New York, NY 10038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/800,407	COTTRELL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Elli Peselev	1623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
	TREPTY  ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIC CET TO EVDIDE 2 MOI	NTU(S) OD TUIDTY (20) DAVS			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  y be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		,				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	<u>ıne 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 21-27</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 21-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in App	lication No			
	<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>		ceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re-	ceived.			
Attachment	(s)					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum				
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		fail Date mal Patent Application			
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/800,407

Art Unit: 1623

The indicated allowability of claims 1-18 and 21-27 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Lowndes et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,201,012).

Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-18 and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowndes et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,201,012) in view of Mihalik (U.S. Patent No. 6,340,672).

Lowndes et al disclose combining ivermectin with polyethylene glycol and granulating said composition (column 16, Example 1). Lowndes et al disclose the use of an ivermectin containing composition as an anthelmintic but do not disclose the addition of tetrahydropyramidine, pyrantel and prazinguantel or fenbendazole or

Art Unit: 1623

febantel. However, since anthelmintic compositions comprising ivermectin, tetrahydropyramidine, pyrantel and prazinquantel or fenbendazole or febantel were well known in the art at the time the claimed invention was made as disclosed by Mihalik (column 3, lines 17-32), it would have been prima facie obvious to add additional anthelmintic agents disclosed by Mihalik to anthelmintic composition disclosed by Lowdes because the results from such a combination would have been expected.

Applicant's arguments filed June 8, 2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,407

Art Unit: 1623

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200